



A Phase-Resonance-Based Framework for Temporal Organization and Biological Coherence

Doha Lee

DohaTesla Lab, South Korea

doha.lee@dohateslalab.org

Received: February 24, 2026 | Accepted: March 20, 2026 | Published online: March 23, 2026 | [Open Access](#)

Abstract

This study introduces the Doha Time–Dimension Phase Resonance framework, in which time and dimension are interpreted as emergent properties of phase-synchronized interactions across biological, environmental, and electromagnetic systems. Rather than treating dimension as a static spatial extension, the framework conceptualizes it as a phase-dependent structure arising from temporal resonance (Φ_k). Within this model, time is represented as a multilayered oscillatory architecture composed of four interwoven domains: planetary electromagnetic rhythms, intrinsic biotemporal cycles, artificial synchronization systems, and quantum–informational fluctuation fields. Dynamic phase coupling among these domains is associated with physiological coherence, cognitive stability, and the continuity of temporal experience. Dimensional modulation is interpreted as a result of systemic phase reorganization, while recurrent energetic cycles—condensation, discharge, recovery, and recharge—are introduced as candidate mechanisms underlying metabolic and memory-related processes. Disruptions in cross-domain synchrony are associated with variations in physiological regulation and perceptual alignment. The framework is formulated as a conceptual and testable systems-level model, with potential empirical grounding in measurable indicators such as EEG phase synchrony, heart rate variability, mitochondrial rhythmic activity, and geophysical resonance patterns. Rather than replacing established theories, this work provides a complementary systems-level perspective on temporal organization in biological systems through phase-based dynamics.

Keywords: Phase resonance; Time perception; Biological oscillations; Phase synchronization; Bioelectromagnetics; Systems neuroscience; Chronobiology; Multiscale dynamics

1 Introduction

Time is commonly modeled within classical and relativistic frameworks as a linear, scalar parameter—an independent continuum external to physical systems. However, biological, geophysical, and neuroelectrical systems exhibit nested, rhythmic, and phase-coherent dynamics that are not easily captured by a single temporal axis [1, 2, 3, 4].

Recent advances in systems biology and nonlinear dynamics suggest that living systems operate through coupled oscillatory processes across multiple temporal scales. These interactions contribute to physiological regulation, cognitive stability, and adaptive responses to environmental conditions.

In this context, this study proposes a phase-resonance-based framework in which temporal organization is modeled as an emergent property of interacting oscillatory domains. Rather than treating time and dimension as fixed background coordinates, the framework describes them as structures arising from phase-based synchrony within and across systems.

Biological systems do not operate within a singular temporal axis. Instead, they interact with multiple concurrent and interwoven temporal domains: T_1 , the **Planetary Resonance Domain**, associated with large-scale electromagnetic rhythms (e.g., Schumann resonance, ~ 7.83 Hz); T_2 , the **Biotemporal Domain**, comprising intrinsic physiological oscillations such as cardiac, respiratory, mitochondrial, and thermoregulatory cycles [5, 6, 7, 8]; T_3 , the **Artificial Synchronization Domain**, defined by externally imposed timing systems including atomic clocks, GPS infrastructure, and digital networks; and T_4 , the **Quantum–Photonic Domain**, referring to subcellular and photonic-scale oscillatory processes associated with information transfer and coherence [9, 10].

Within this framework, temporal experience is modeled as a layered configuration of synchronized oscillations. The dynamic interaction of these domains contributes to physiological coherence, perceptual continuity, and cognitive organization [11].

2 Methods

This study adopts a theoretical modeling approach grounded in bioelectromagnetic phase dynamics, structured to formalize the Doha Time–Dimension Phase Resonance framework. The methodology develops a multi-layered systems model informed by observed biological rhythms, geophysical oscillations, and photonic-scale processes [6, 12].

While the present study is primarily theoretical, the framework is explicitly formulated to generate testable predictions using measurable physiological and environmental signals.

2.1 Phase-Defined Temporal Stratification

Time is modeled as a layered, phase-encoded resonance structure rather than a single scalar parameter. The temporal experience of a biological organism is represented as the interaction of four concurrent temporal domains: T_1 , the **Planetary Electromagnetic Domain** (e.g., Schumann resonance); T_2 , the **Biotemporal Domain**, including cardiac, respiratory, mitochondrial, and thermoregulatory oscillations [5, 6, 13, 14, 8]; T_3 , the **Artificial Synchronization Domain**, comprising satellite-based timing systems and digital infrastructures; and T_4 , the **Quantum–Photonic Domain**, referring to subcellular and photonic-scale oscillatory processes associated with information transfer and coherence [9, 10].

Each domain is characterized by distinct frequency, amplitude, and phase properties, contributing to the global phase structure of the system. These domains can be operationalized through measurable indicators, including heart rate variability (HRV), electroencephalographic (EEG) phase activity, and environmental electromagnetic fluctuations.

2.2 Phase Coupling and Desynchronization

Phase dynamics are represented using a theoretical phase-locking matrix $P_{ij}(t)$, where each node i and j corresponds to a temporal domain (T_1 – T_4). Phase synchrony is modeled as a resonance convergence condition:

$$\Delta\phi_{ij}(t) \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow t_c \quad (1)$$

where $\Delta\phi_{ij}$ denotes the phase difference between domains i and j , and t_c represents a convergence point.

We define a measurable phase coherence index as a function of inter-domain phase differences, operationalized through signal-based phase synchrony measures derived from physiological and environmental data.

Persistent phase deviation ($\Delta\phi_{ij} \neq 0$) corresponds to reduced coupling strength within the system.

These dynamics can be empirically examined through phase synchrony metrics derived from EEG coherence analysis, HRV spectral coupling, and cross-frequency interaction measures. Such conditions are structurally analogous to decoherence phenomena in oscillatory systems [11].

2.3 Dimensional Encoding via Phase Manifolds

Dimensions are modeled as phase-dependent informational structures rather than fixed geometric axes. Each temporal domain maps onto a dimensional representation via:

$$D_k = f(T_k, \Phi_k) \quad (2)$$

where D_k denotes the emergent dimensional state associated with temporal domain T_k and its phase configuration Φ_k [9]. Variations in phase structure correspond to changes in spatial perception, memory continuity, and system-level integration.

Future empirical validation may involve correlating phase configuration changes with measurable neural, physiological, and environmental signals.

2.4 Systemic Modeling Structure

The overall system is formulated as a Phase-Bundled Temporal Network with nodal representations of each temporal domain. Phase coherence serves as a central system-level metric, evaluated through harmonic interaction modeling and correspondence with known biological and environmental oscillatory patterns [6, 15].

Although no direct empirical dataset is analyzed in the present study, the framework is designed to be compatible with multimodal data integration, enabling future validation through combined physiological (e.g., HRV, EEG) and environmental (e.g., geomagnetic) measurements.

3 Results

3.1 Biological Synchronization Effects: A Resonant Embodiment Framework

In this model, physiological coherence is interpreted as arising from interactions between internal biological oscillatory systems and environmental phase signals. The heart and brain are modeled as coupled oscillatory subsystems contributing to temporal alignment within the organism.

Let $T_1(t)$ represent geomagnetic input and $T_2(t)$ denote intrinsic biotemporal rhythms. Their respective instantaneous phases, $\phi_1(t)$ and $\phi_2(t)$ define a phase offset:

$$\Delta\phi_{12}(t) = |\phi_1(t) - \phi_2(t)| \quad (3)$$

A resonance coherence index is defined as:

$$R_{12}(t) = \cos(\Delta\phi_{12}(t)) \quad (4)$$

This index provides a quantitative measure of phase alignment between domains. Higher values of $R_{12}(t)$ correspond to increased phase synchrony, while lower values indicate reduced coupling.

These dynamics may be evaluated using measurable physiological indicators such as heart rate variability (HRV), EEG phase coherence, and cross-frequency coupling metrics.

The intrinsic biotemporal signal $T_2(t)$ is modeled as being influenced by external spectral inputs $f_\gamma(t)$:

$$\phi_2(t) = \int_0^t F(f_\gamma(\tau)) d\tau \quad (5)$$

where $F(f_\gamma)$ denotes a phase-rate transfer function.

Within this formulation, perceived biological time can be expressed as:

$$T_{\text{perceived}}(t) = \frac{d\phi_2(t)}{df_\gamma(t)} \quad (6)$$

This formulation describes biological time as an emergent property of phase interactions across coupled oscillatory domains.

3.2 Phase-Based Temporal Distortion: A Multilayer Desynchronization Model

Temporal anomalies such as déjà vu, time dilation, and episodic disorientation can be interpreted within this framework as arising from phase misalignment between layered temporal domains.

We define two key temporal domains: $T_3(t)$, representing external technological synchronization systems (e.g., digital time grids, atomic clocks); and $T_4(t)$, representing endogenous neural oscillatory activity associated with internal temporal processing. Each domain has an instantaneous phase, $\phi_3(t)$ and $\phi_4(t)$, and their divergence is expressed as:

$$\Delta\phi_{34}(t) = |\phi_3(t) - \phi_4(t)| \quad (7)$$

The resonance index between these domains is defined as:

$$R_{34}(t) = \cos(\Delta\phi_{34}(t)) \quad (8)$$

Lower values of $R_{34}(t)$ correspond to reduced phase alignment between internal and external temporal references. Such conditions may be associated with transient discrepancies between subjective and externally synchronized time.

In environments where $\phi_3(t)$ varies rapidly (e.g., due to digital inputs or artificial lighting cycles), incomplete re-entrainment of $\phi_4(t)$ may result in altered temporal perception. These effects can include variations in perceived time flow, episodic discontinuities, and instability in temporal integration.

We define the perceived time rate as a relative phase differential:

$$T_{\text{perceived}}(t) = \frac{d\phi_4(t)}{d\phi_3(t)} \quad (9)$$

This formulation represents the relative coherence between internal and external temporal dynamics.

These dynamics may be examined using measurable neural indicators such as EEG phase synchrony, cross-frequency coupling, and thalamocortical coherence patterns.

Within this framework, perceptual anomalies are interpreted as phase-slippage events within multilayered temporal systems, rather than solely as stochastic neural noise.

3.3 Dimensional Drift and Phase-Dependent Spatial Reorganization

Variations in $\Phi_k(t)$, representing the phase configuration of interacting temporal domains, are modeled as influencing $D_k(t)$, an internal representation of spatial and perceptual organization. These variations may be associated with changes in spatial coherence, interoceptive continuity, and sensory integration.

The relationship is formalized as:

$$D_k(t) = G(\Phi_k(t), \nabla\Phi_k(t)) \quad (10)$$

where G denotes a transformation function dependent on phase structure and its local gradients.

Within this framework, changes in phase configuration are interpreted as modulating internal representations of spatial structure and body-centered reference frames. Such modulation may be associated with variations in perceptual stability and spatial orientation.

These dynamics may be examined through measurable physiological signals, including neural oscillatory patterns, hemodynamic rhythms, and cardiorespiratory coupling.

Rather than representing discrete structural changes, these effects are modeled as continuous variations in system-level coherence across coupled oscillatory domains.

Accordingly, these transitions are interpreted as phase-dependent reorganizations within a multilayered system, reflecting changes in internal coordination rather than discrete structural transformations.

3.4 Environmental Phase Resonance: Electrobiological Coupling

Environmental electromagnetic activity, including extremely low-frequency fields (e.g., Schumann resonance), can be modeled as part of a dynamic oscillatory environment interacting with biological systems. Let $T_1(t)$ represent the environmental electromagnetic phase field, with instantaneous phase $\phi_1(t)$.

Variations in environmental conditions—such as atmospheric ionization, lightning activity, or geomagnetic fluctuations—may induce rapid changes in $\phi_1(t)$, leading to phase differences with intrinsic biological rhythms (T_2). This relationship is expressed as:

$$\Delta\phi_{12}(t) = |\phi_1(t) - \phi_2(t)| \quad (11)$$

The corresponding phase coherence index is defined as:

$$R_{12}(t) = \cos(\Delta\phi_{12}(t)) \quad (12)$$

Lower values of $R_{12}(t)$ correspond to reduced phase alignment between environmental and biological domains. Such conditions may be associated with variations in physiological regulation and circadian stability.

Observational data collected by the author indicate anomalous cicada vocalization occurring outside typical photic activation periods. Specifically, vocalization events were recorded at 00:55 and 01:05 AM on August 19 and 20, 2025, respectively.

These events preceded localized high-intensity rainfall by approximately 90 minutes (02:25 and 03:35 AM), suggesting a potential temporal association between pre-rain atmospheric conditions and biological activity.

While cicadas are typically responsive to light and temperature cues, these observations raise the possibility that rapid environmental phase fluctuations—such as atmospheric ionization or electromagnetic field variation—may influence biological activation thresholds. This observation has been previously discussed in related work and is revisited here within a temporal phase framework.

Although preliminary and limited in sample size, these observations are consistent with the proposed framework in which biological systems may exhibit sensitivity to rapid changes in environmental phase structure.

Within this model, environmental fluctuations are interpreted as modulatory inputs that influence phase coupling across biological systems, rather than deterministic causes of specific behavioral outcomes.

These interactions can be examined through measurable environmental and physiological signals, including geomagnetic indices, EEG phase coherence, and heart rate variability (HRV).

At the system level, reduced phase coherence ($R_{12}(t) < \theta$) may correspond to decreased synchronization across coupled oscillatory subsystems. These effects are modeled as continuous variations in coherence rather than discrete breakdown events.

Future empirical validation may involve correlating environmental electromagnetic variability with physiological coherence metrics across multiple temporal scales.

3.5 Resonance Coupling and System Stability: A Phase-Convergent Bioregulation Model

Biological systems can be modeled as inherently oscillatory, with stability depending on phase alignment across coupled subsystems. Let $\Delta\phi_{ij}(t)$ denote the phase difference between two interacting systems i and j , including interactions across organs, neural networks, or environmental inputs.

When $\Delta\phi_{ij}(t) \rightarrow 0$, the system approaches a state of phase convergence, corresponding to increased synchrony across interacting subsystems. This condition may be associated with stable neural oscillatory patterns, coordinated circadian regulation, and increased autonomic coherence.

This relationship is quantified using a resonance index:

$$R_{ij}(t) = \cos(\Delta\phi_{ij}(t)) \quad (13)$$

with $R_{ij}(t) \rightarrow +1$ indicating high phase alignment.

Conversely, larger phase differences ($\Delta\phi_{ij}(t) > \theta$) correspond to reduced coupling between subsystems. Such conditions may be associated with decreased physiological coordination, increased signal variability, and instability in coherence-dependent regulatory processes.

These dynamics can be examined using measurable indicators such as EEG phase synchrony, heart rate variability (HRV), and cross-system coupling metrics.

Within this framework, system stability is modeled as a function of phase coherence across interacting oscillatory networks. Changes in phase alignment correspond to continuous variations in system-level organization rather than discrete state transitions.

This formulation describes resonance coupling as a general organizing principle for coordinated biological function, while phase misalignment corresponds to reduced integration across coupled systems.

4 Discussion

4.1 Reframing Time and Dimension as Phase Systems

The Doha Time–Dimension Phase Resonance framework models time as a multi-phase dynamical construct emerging from synchronization across layered oscillatory systems. In contrast to scalar or strictly linear formulations, temporality is described here as an emergent property of phase interactions across coupled biological and environmental domains.

These temporal domains are defined as follows: T_1 , planetary-scale electromagnetic activity (e.g., Schumann resonance); T_2 , endogenous biotemporal rhythms including autonomic and circadian cycles; T_3 , externally imposed synchronization systems such as digital and atomic time grids; and T_4 , sub-threshold photonic and quantum-level oscillatory processes. Rather than operating as independent layers, these domains form an interacting system in which phase relationships produce variations in physiological coherence, perception, and information processing.

Within this framework, time is not treated as an independent variable but as a derived property of cross-domain phase alignment.

Dimension is correspondingly interpreted as a phase-dependent coherence structure. Each dimension can be modeled as a configuration of relative phase stability in which interactions among system components are maintained. Transitions in dimensional experience are therefore described as changes in phase relationships rather than displacements within a fixed spatial coordinate system.

Dimensional organization may be represented through progressively structured phase configurations: 0D as localized excitation states; 1D as directional propagation; 2D as field-level coherence; 3D as integrative spatial organization; and higher-dimensional extensions as orthogonal phase expansions. In this view, movement through time and space is modeled as local phase reorganization within a system, rather than translation across an external continuum.

Neurophysiologically, the Reticular Activating System (RAS) can be interpreted as a dynamic gating mechanism that prioritizes sensory input based on internal state and salience. Its activity is coupled with oscillatory processes observed during Rapid Eye Movement (REM) sleep, forming a functional interaction between internal reorganization and perceptual filtering.

This interaction can be described in terms of a phase difference, $\Delta\phi_{\text{REM-RAS}}(t)$, representing the relationship between endogenous oscillatory reconfiguration and sensory gating processes. When $\Delta\phi_{\text{REM-RAS}}(t) \rightarrow 0$, increased coherence between internal and external processing may occur. Larger phase differences may correspond to transient disruptions in temporal integration, potentially associated with altered perceptual or mnemonic states.

Within this model, memory accessibility is interpreted as a function of phase alignment within distributed oscillatory networks rather than as a fixed storage property. Variations in recall are therefore described in terms of dynamic reconfiguration within phase-coupled systems.

Overall, time, dimension, and memory are treated not as independent constructs, but as interrelated outcomes of phase-structured interactions across biological, environmental, and informational systems.

4.2 Unified Biological–Temporal Architecture

The four-domain temporal structure (T_1 – T_4) can be modeled as an integrated bioelectromagnetic system in which physiological coherence is maintained through dynamic phase relationships. Disruptions in these relationships may be associated with variations in attention, fatigue, and perceptual integration.

Within this framework, biological systems can be interpreted as multi-scale oscillatory networks, where cells exhibit phase-responsive behavior across interacting frequency domains. Mitochondrial activity, in particular, may be considered in relation to bioenergetic oscillations that are coupled to electromagnetic and photonic inputs, contributing to system-level coherence.

Rather than being defined by static structure, the organism is modeled as a dynamically regulated system of phase interactions. Adaptive processes, including physiological regulation and long-term biological change, may be interpreted as adjustments in internal phase organization in response to environmental variability.

Empirical observations suggest that environmental factors such as geomagnetic fluctuations and artificial electromagnetic exposure may be associated with measurable changes in autonomic and neuroendocrine regulation. These interactions can be examined using established metrics such as HRV, EEG phase coherence, and circadian rhythm stability.

Within this model, time perception is interpreted as a function of phase alignment within neural and physiological systems. Variability in environmental signals may influence this alignment, leading to measurable changes in temporal integration and perceptual stability.

4.3 Phase Divergence and Rhythmic Misalignment

Biological coherence depends on the maintenance of phase relationships across internal and external oscillatory systems. Deviations in phase alignment may correspond to shifts in system organization rather than discrete dysfunction.

Such phase divergence can be interpreted as a transitional state in which the system attempts to re-establish coherence under changing conditions. These transitions may be associated with changes in attention, memory accessibility, and perceptual processing.

Temporal distortion and altered perception can therefore be modeled as outcomes of phase misalignment across interacting temporal domains (T_1 – T_4), rather than as isolated anomalies. Restoration of coherence depends on re-alignment across these coupled systems.

4.4 Phase-Based Interpretation of Large-Scale Motion Systems

Conventional models describe large-scale motion, including atmospheric circulation and flight dynamics, primarily in terms of mechanical rotation and inertial reference frames. While these models are effective for prediction and navigation, they may not fully capture phase-structured interactions across atmospheric, electromagnetic, and plasma systems.

Within a phase-resonance framework, large-scale motion can be interpreted as emerging from structured flows within coupled phase fields. Atmospheric circulation, cloud dynamics, and electromagnetic field interactions may be modeled as components of a globally coupled oscillatory system.

In this context, observed rotational patterns may reflect phase-coherent circulation of atmospheric and plasma media rather than strictly rigid-body motion. Aircraft navigation can be understood as operating within these structured flow fields, maintaining coherence relative to local phase conditions.

This interpretation does not replace conventional models but provides an additional framework for understanding large-scale dynamics in terms of phase coupling and oscillatory coherence. Further investigation is required to determine how such phase-based models may complement existing descriptions of atmospheric and navigational systems.

4.5 Dimensional Shift and Evolutionary Thresholds

Within this framework, dimensional transitions are modeled not as spatial displacements, but as reconfigurations of phase relationships within coupled informational systems. These transitions may be associated with changes in energy distribution and phase coherence, influencing how temporal information is processed and integrated.

Rather than occurring instantaneously, such transitions can be described as gradual reorganizations across cyclic states, including accumulation, release, stabilization, and reorganization. These processes may reflect underlying oscillatory dynamics that support continuity of phase coherence across biological and environmental systems.

Dimensional boundaries are therefore interpreted as transitional regions characterized by reduced phase stability, where reorganization of coherence structures may occur. In this context, the concept of an intermediate coupling medium can be introduced to describe phase transmission across domains. This medium is not treated as a classical physical substance, but as a functional construct representing interactions between coherence and decoherence processes across scales.

From this perspective, biological evolution may be interpreted not only in terms of genetic variation, but also as adaptive changes in phase coupling across interacting systems. Organisms that maintain stable phase relationships with environmental oscillatory patterns may exhibit enhanced systemic coherence and adaptive capacity.

Conversely, sustained phase divergence beyond adaptive thresholds may correspond to reduced system stability or transitions to alternative organizational states. These processes can be described in terms of phase reconfiguration within multi-scale oscillatory networks.

This framework suggests that phase alignment and coherence thresholds may provide an additional layer of description for biological adaptation, complementing existing genetic and structural models.

4.6 Deep-Sea Life as Indicators of Phase-Structured Environments

Deep-sea ecosystems provide a useful context for examining biological responses to complex environmental conditions. These environments are characterized by high pressure, low light availability, geomagnetic variability, hydrothermal activity, and chemically and energetically dynamic conditions.

Organisms inhabiting these regions often exhibit traits such as bioluminescence, electroreception, and large body size, which may reflect adaptations to these extreme environments. Within a phase-based framework, such traits can be interpreted as responses to complex oscillatory and electromagnetic conditions.

High-energy environmental factors, including hydrothermal flux and geophysical activity, may influence biological systems through multiple pathways, including metabolic regulation and signal processing. While the mechanisms remain under investigation, these environments provide a context in which phase-dependent interactions between biological systems and external fields may be explored.

Regional variation in marine ecosystems may further reflect differences in underlying environmental conditions, including geological activity and electromagnetic variability. These differences may be associated with variations in species composition and physiological adaptation.

Within this model, deep-sea organisms can be considered as systems operating under distinct phase conditions, providing potential observational contexts for studying phase-environment coupling across biological and geophysical scales.

Further empirical investigation is required to establish the extent to which such phase-based interpretations can be quantitatively validated.

4.7 Individualized Time and Phase Synchronization

Within the proposed framework, time can be interpreted as a phase-dependent construct emerging from internal oscillatory processes in biological systems. Each organism may be associated with a distinct temporal field (T_2), shaped by neural architecture, metabolic dynamics, and intrinsic rhythmic activity such as cardiac and respiratory cycles.

This perspective provides a basis for understanding variations in temporal perception across species and contexts. Differences in sensory processing, cognitive complexity, and information integration may influence the effective phase dynamics underlying temporal experience. Subjective variations in perceived time, such as changes under conditions of attention or cognitive load, may therefore be interpreted in terms of shifts in internal phase relationships.

Importantly, individual temporal fields do not operate in isolation. Interactions between systems may lead to partial or transient phase alignment, contributing to phenomena such as synchronization, collective behavior, and entrainment.

Neurophysiologically, the Reticular Activating System (RAS) can be interpreted as a gating mechanism that modulates sensory prioritization based on internal state and contextual relevance. Within this model, consciousness is treated as an emergent process arising from coordinated neural oscillations, rather than as a fixed temporal reference.

In this context, time is not assumed to be universally uniform across systems, but may vary as a function of phase alignment within and between biological and environmental domains.

4.7.1 Ancient Timekeeping as Phase-Responsive Systems

Historical timekeeping systems across multiple civilizations relied on observable cyclic phenomena, including solar position, fluid dynamics, and lunar phase patterns. Instruments such as the *Angbuilgu* (hemispheric sundial) and *Jagyeokru* (water clock) can be interpreted as systems that tracked recurring environmental cycles through measurable physical processes.

These systems functioned by aligning observable environmental regularities with human activity patterns. Within a phase-based framework, such instruments may be viewed as early implementations of phase-responsive measurement systems, translating environmental periodicity into temporal reference signals.

Rather than depending on abstract temporal constructs, these devices operated through direct coupling with environmental cycles, including light variation, gravitational flow, and periodic oscillatory processes. Their functionality suggests that time measurement can be grounded in recurrent environmental patterns and system-level synchronization.

This perspective highlights the role of phase alignment between biological systems and environmental cycles as a foundational mechanism for temporal organization.

4.7.2 *Atomic Clocks and Phase-Based Temporal Reference*

Modern atomic timekeeping systems define temporal units based on stable oscillatory processes, such as the hyperfine transition frequency of cesium-133. These systems provide highly precise and reproducible temporal standards based on electromagnetic resonance.

Within a phase-based framework, such oscillatory stability may be interpreted as an instance of phase-locked resonance within controlled physical systems. This perspective emphasizes that temporal measurement can be grounded in stable oscillatory dynamics, independent of specific macroscopic reference frames.

Rather than replacing conventional interpretations, this approach suggests that atomic timekeeping may be understood as a highly controlled example of phase coherence, providing a reference point for broader discussions of temporal organization across systems.

4.7.3 *Electromagnetic Systems and Phase Coherence*

Electromagnetic field generation can arise in systems exhibiting charge separation, oscillatory current flow, and conductive structure, without requiring large-scale mechanical motion. Examples include biological systems, in which measurable electromagnetic fields are produced through coordinated ionic activity.

Within this context, planetary-scale electromagnetic phenomena may be interpreted as arising from complex interactions among conductive materials, charge dynamics, and oscillatory processes. While conventional dynamo models provide a well-established framework, additional perspectives may consider the role of phase coherence and oscillatory coupling in shaping large-scale electromagnetic behavior.

This interpretation does not replace existing physical models, but suggests that phase-structured dynamics may provide a complementary framework for understanding electromagnetic organization across scales.

4.8 *Earth as a Non-Rotating Phase Oscillator*

Within the proposed phase-resonance framework, Earth can be modeled as a phase-coupled oscillatory system in which large-scale environmental dynamics emerge from internally organized phase interactions. Rather than being fully described by rigid-body rotation alone, planetary behavior may also be interpreted in terms of coupled oscillatory processes involving atmospheric charge gradients, plasma flows, and electromagnetic feedback loops. This perspective is intended as a complementary interpretive layer and does not replace established physical models.

In this framework, cyclical geophysical and biological phenomena arise from coherent phase modulation across atmospheric, electromagnetic, and fluid domains. Observed periodic patterns may therefore reflect internally structured phase dynamics that regulate environmental conditions and temporal organization.

What is conventionally perceived as sunlight may be reconsidered in terms of photonic processes associated with atmospheric and ionospheric excitation–relaxation dynamics. These processes may correspond to phase transitions in charge density and energy distribution within upper atmospheric layers.

Similarly, the visible solar disk may be interpreted, within this framework, as a region of coherent photonic concentration shaped by atmospheric and electromagnetic conditions. Variations in intensity and structure may reflect underlying phase interactions involving geomagnetic activity, pressure gradients, and feedback processes across environmental layers.

Stellar perception can also be examined from a phase-dependent perspective. The apparent stability of stellar positions may reflect the observer's internally phase-locked reference frame, in which neural synchronization with environmental photonic inputs contributes to perceptual continuity and temporal organization.

Tidal phenomena, within this model, may be interpreted as manifestations of internally coupled energy cycles involving water systems, charge distribution, and geophysical feedback loops. The commonly observed multi-phase tidal patterns suggest the presence of structured oscillatory processes rather than purely unidirectional forcing mechanisms.

Certain observational features, such as localized vertical fluid behavior and complex pressure dynamics, may indicate that tidal systems involve not only lateral displacement but also internally regulated phase interactions. These effects may be consistent with models emphasizing pressure redistribution, resonance feedback, and phase alignment within coupled fluid systems.

Within this perspective, Earth's dynamic behavior can be described as a nested system of oscillatory processes spanning biological, electromagnetic, and geophysical domains. These processes collectively form a phase-coherent architecture in which environmental cycles, biological rhythms, and perceptual organization are interconnected.

Accordingly, planetary dynamics may be understood as a macroscopic extension of the same phase-resonance principles observed in biological and temporal systems, providing a unified framework for interpreting large-scale environmental behavior.

4.8.1 Phase-Based Fluid and Wave Dynamics

Geophysical fluid flow, including oceanic and atmospheric motion, is commonly described using inertial and rotational frameworks. However, within a phase-resonance perspective, such flows may also be interpreted as emerging from phase gradient propagation ($\nabla\Phi$) within coupled conductive media.

In this framework, fluid motion arises from interactions among pressure gradients, charge distribution, and phase-structured oscillatory dynamics. Biological systems provide analogous examples, where fluid transport is coordinated through phase-synchronized processes rather than purely mechanical forcing.

Accordingly, large-scale environmental flows may be interpreted as manifestations of coupled phase dynamics across atmospheric, oceanic, and subsurface domains.

4.8.2 Wave Dynamics as Phase-Driven Gas Displacement

Wave formation in oceanic systems is traditionally attributed to mechanical and rotational effects. However, within the proposed framework, wave behavior may also be interpreted as arising from interactions between internal phase gradients and density variations.

We can represent wave velocity as:

$$v_{\text{wave}}(t) = f(\nabla\Phi_{\text{int}}(t), \frac{d}{dt}\Delta\rho_{\text{atm}}(t)) \quad (14)$$

This formulation suggests that wave propagation may emerge from coupled phase transitions and pressure dynamics across interacting environmental layers.

Such an interpretation does not exclude conventional models but provides an additional perspective in which fluid motion is analyzed in terms of phase coherence and oscillatory structure across scales.

4.9 Atmospheric Plasma Structures and Discharge Rhythms

The atmosphere can be interpreted as a multilayered system exhibiting plasma-like and electromagnetically structured behavior, dynamically modulated by energetic gradients and phase-dependent interactions. Within this perspective, atmospheric phenomena such as clouds, fog, and dew may be examined as outcomes of charge-state transitions occurring across localized regions.

Cloud formation can be described in terms of vertical transport and redistribution of vapor-phase constituents, coupled with variations in charge density and electromagnetic conditions. Under certain conditions, these processes may lead to structured aggregation patterns that reflect interactions between thermodynamic, electromagnetic, and phase-related dynamics.

Fog may be interpreted as a near-surface condition associated with reduced atmospheric coherence, in which local changes in phase alignment and charge distribution correspond to decreased visibility and altered environmental conditions. Similarly, dew formation may reflect stabilization processes in which local gradients in temperature, pressure, and charge distribution converge toward equilibrium states.

Variations in atmospheric luminosity and transient phenomena—such as localized luminous formations or non-uniform electrical discharge patterns—may indicate regions of intensified energy exchange and phase interaction. These observations suggest that atmospheric behavior may be influenced not only by thermodynamic processes but also by structured electromagnetic and phase-dependent dynamics.

Within this framework, atmospheric variability can be examined as a coupled system in which environmental cycles emerge from interactions among pressure gradients, electromagnetic fields, and phase-organized oscillatory processes. This perspective complements conventional meteorological models by introducing an additional layer of interpretation based on coherence and resonance across scales.

4.10 Photocycle and the Evolution of Sensory Wiring

The photocycle may be understood as a phase-structured interaction between environmental light conditions and biological systems, influencing metabolic regulation, neural activity, and sensory organization. Rather than representing only a binary alternation of light and darkness, photonic input can be considered as a continuous spectral signal contributing to oscillatory biological processes.

Within this framework, photonic rhythms are associated with the regulation of cellular and systemic oscillatory activity, including mitochondrial function, neuroendocrine signaling, and circadian organization. Variations in spectral input may influence the timing and structure of physiological responses, contributing to adaptive changes in metabolic and neural dynamics.

Photons can be interpreted as carriers of temporal information, modulating biochemical processes such as hormone release and neural synchronization. These processes contribute to the regulation of circadian and ultradian rhythms, shaping the organism's temporal perception and responsiveness to environmental conditions.

From an evolutionary perspective, sensory and neural systems may be influenced by long-term exposure to specific spectral environments. This process can be understood as a form of adaptive restructuring, in which organisms adjust their sensory and physiological organization in response to dominant environmental frequencies.

Behavioral patterns such as diurnal and nocturnal activity may therefore reflect different modes of phase alignment with environmental photonic conditions. In this sense, temporal perception can be viewed as an emergent property of oscillatory biological systems interacting with structured light environments.

This framework suggests that biological systems continuously adapt to maintain coherence with their spectral surroundings, providing a systems-level perspective on the relationship between light, physiology, and temporal organization.

4.11 Synchronization, Time Elasticity, and Conscious Coherence

Within this framework, time can be interpreted as an emergent property arising from phase synchronization across biological and environmental systems. Temporal experience is associated with coordinated oscillatory activity within neural, autonomic, and electromagnetic networks, and variations in perceived time may reflect changes in coherence among these interacting systems.

When multiple subsystems—either within a single organism or across interacting organisms—approach phase synchrony, temporal perception may exhibit compression, expansion, or increased fluidity. Such states have been associated with coherent information transfer across neural networks and may correspond to phenomena such as attentional absorption or altered experiential continuity.

The Reticular Activating System (RAS) can be considered a modulatory interface that prioritizes sensory input in relation to internal and external phase alignment. Under conditions of high coherence, sensory integration may become more efficient, whereas reduced alignment may correspond to fragmented temporal perception or variability in attentional stability.

Respiratory dynamics may also contribute to this regulatory structure. In addition to its metabolic function, respiration interacts with autonomic and neural oscillatory systems, including vagal pathways and baroreceptive mechanisms. Through these interactions, breathing may act as a modulatory process influencing phase alignment across physiological subsystems.

From this perspective, temporal experience is not uniformly imposed but may be locally constructed through interactions among nested oscillatory processes. Memory, perception, and affect can therefore be examined as functions of dynamic phase relationships, while consciousness may be interpreted as an active participant in organizing temporally structured experience.

4.12 Phase Transition, Energy Depletion, and the Etheric Interface

Phase-synchronized systems can be described as operating within cyclical energetic processes involving condensation, discharge, recovery, and recharge. These cycles may contribute to the maintenance of coherence across biological, electromagnetic, and environmental domains.

Under conditions in which recovery or re-synchronization processes are limited, systems may exhibit reduced coherence, corresponding to changes in stability, adaptability, or temporal integration. Such transitions can be interpreted as shifts in phase organization rather than purely structural or mechanical breakdown.

Within this framework, the concept of an “etheric interface” is introduced as a non-spatial, phase-dependent boundary condition. This construct is not intended as a material substrate, but as a conceptual layer describing regions in which phase coherence becomes variable and transitions between states may occur.

In such regions, system behavior may exhibit non-linear characteristics, including changes in coupling strength, phase stability, or information integration. These dynamics may be relevant for understanding transitions between different physiological or perceptual states.

From a biological perspective, reduced phase coherence may correspond to experiences such as temporal disorientation or altered perceptual integration. These effects can be interpreted as outcomes of changing relationships between internal oscillatory systems and environmental phase conditions.

Importantly, reductions in coherence do not necessarily indicate irreversible dysfunction, but may represent transitional states within a dynamic system. Under appropriate conditions, re-synchronization may occur through interactions with external or internal oscillatory inputs, including respiration, electromagnetic stimuli, or other regulatory processes.

In this sense, phase transition points can be understood as thresholds within a continuous dynamical system, rather than as terminal states. The etheric interface, as defined here, provides a conceptual framework for examining how systems reorganize coherence across multiple scales of temporal and physiological organization.

4.13 The Pitch Drop Paradox and the Limits of Phase-Based Observability

The long-running Pitch Drop Experiment, initiated in 1927, is often cited to demonstrate that pitch behaves as a highly viscous fluid despite its solid-like appearance. However, beyond its rheological implications, the experiment also raises questions regarding the limits of continuous observability in extremely slow dynamical systems.

Notably, direct observation of pitch-drop detachment has historically been rare and technically challenging, despite prolonged monitoring. While this is commonly attributed to temporal resolution constraints, it may also reflect limitations in how observational systems capture phase-continuous processes.

Within a phase-resonance framework, such events may be interpreted as occurring across transient intervals in which phase continuity is not fully captured by measurement systems. These intervals can be conceptualized as regions of reduced phase coherence, where signal detection becomes probabilistic or discontinuous.

Importantly, both biological and instrumental detection systems rely on electromagnetic signal processing. Under conditions in which phase transitions involve rapid shifts in coherence or signal structure, certain intermediate states may remain unrecorded, not due to absence of the event, but due to limitations in phase encoding and detection.

From this perspective, the Pitch Drop Experiment highlights a broader principle: that observability may be constrained not only by temporal resolution, but also by the structure of phase-dependent measurement systems.

In this context, the concept of an “*etheric interface*” can be reinterpreted as a non-material, phase-dependent boundary condition describing regions in which coherence becomes difficult to detect or represent. This interpretation does not posit a physical medium, but rather a limitation in phase-based observability across certain dynamical regimes.

Such considerations may also be relevant in other domains where transient or weakly coherent signals are difficult to measure, suggesting that apparent discontinuities may reflect measurement constraints rather than physical absence.

4.14 Integrative Synthesis and Concluding Remarks

The proposed phase-resonance framework offers a systems-level perspective in which time, dimension, and biological organization are interpreted as emergent properties of coupled oscillatory processes. Rather than treating these elements as independent constructs, the framework emphasizes their interdependence across multiple scales of organization.

Across biological, environmental, and electromagnetic domains, coherent behavior can be described in terms of phase alignment, coupling strength, and dynamic synchronization. Cyclical processes—such as metabolic regulation, atmospheric dynamics, and neural oscillations—may be understood within a unified structure of phase-dependent interaction.

Within this perspective, temporal experience can be interpreted as arising from the coordination of oscillatory processes, while spatial organization may reflect stable configurations of phase coherence. Biological regulation, perception, and adaptive behavior are therefore examined as functions of dynamic phase relationships rather than fixed structural properties.

The framework further suggests that processes such as memory, adaptation, and systemic change may be associated with reconfiguration of phase alignment across interacting systems. These transitions can be examined in terms of coherence, coupling, and resonance across biological and environmental scales.

Importantly, this study is intended as a conceptual and theoretical model that generates testable hypotheses. Potential empirical approaches may include analysis of heart rate variability, EEG phase synchrony, mitochondrial oscillatory dynamics, and geomagnetic coupling.

By introducing a phase-based interpretive framework, this work aims to provide a complementary perspective to existing models, offering new directions for investigating the relationship between time, biological organization, and environmental dynamics.

4.15 Theoretical Limitations and Experimental Constraints

The phase-resonance framework proposed in this study is conceptual and systems-oriented, and its empirical validation is currently constrained by limitations in measurement resolution and multimodal data integration.

While physiological signals such as heart rate variability (HRV) and electroencephalographic (EEG) activity provide indirect access to oscillatory dynamics, current instrumentation is limited in its ability to capture real-time phase relationships across multiple interacting systems. In particular, the detection of cross-system phase coupling between neural, autonomic, and environmental signals remains technically challenging.

Furthermore, the framework introduces multi-scale interactions that extend beyond conventional experimental paradigms, including potential coupling between biological and environmental oscillatory processes. These interactions are not yet systematically characterized, and their empirical isolation may require new experimental designs and analytical approaches.

It is important to note that this study does not provide direct empirical validation of the full model, but rather establishes a theoretical structure that generates testable hypotheses. As such, the proposed relationships between phase

coherence, temporal perception, and environmental coupling should be interpreted as provisional and subject to further investigation.

Advances in sensor technology, signal processing, and integrative modeling will be necessary to evaluate these hypotheses with sufficient precision. Until such developments are realized, the framework should be regarded as a guiding model for future empirical research rather than a fully validated explanatory system.

4.16 Future Directions

Future research should focus on operationalizing the phase-resonance framework into measurable and testable systems across biological and environmental domains.

One key direction is the development of multimodal phase-coherence monitoring platforms integrating HRV, EEG, and respiratory signals to quantify cross-system synchronization. Such platforms may enable the identification of coherence patterns associated with physiological regulation, cognitive states, and environmental responsiveness.

Experimental studies may also investigate the relationship between environmental oscillatory signals—such as geomagnetic activity or atmospheric electromagnetic variation—and physiological phase coherence. Time-aligned recordings of environmental and biological data could provide insight into potential coupling mechanisms.

In addition, computational modeling using coupled oscillator systems and nonlinear dynamics may be employed to simulate phase interactions, predict coherence thresholds, and identify conditions leading to synchronization or desynchronization across systems.

Applied research directions may include the development of closed-loop modulation systems, such as light-based or electromagnetic interventions, designed to influence phase coherence in real time. These approaches may have potential applications in areas such as stress regulation, circadian alignment, and neurophysiological stabilization.

Finally, personalized phase-mapping approaches may be explored, enabling individualized assessment of oscillatory coherence across biological systems. Such approaches could contribute to early detection of dysregulation and support the development of adaptive, resonance-based intervention strategies.

5 Conclusion

This study introduces the Doha Time–Dimension Phase Resonance Framework, in which time is interpreted as an emergent property of phase synchronization across interacting biological, environmental, and electromagnetic systems. By integrating multilayered temporal domains (T_1 – T_4), the model provides a unified structure linking planetary electromagnetic activity, intrinsic biotemporal rhythms, artificial synchronization systems, and photonic–quantum processes.

Within this framework, the organism is conceptualized as a phase-coupled node embedded within nested oscillatory fields, where coherence and desynchronization correspond to variations in physiological stability, perceptual continuity, and system-level regulation. Temporal experience and dimensional organization are thus interpreted as outcomes of dynamic phase relationships rather than fixed background parameters.

By incorporating phase manifolds, Φ_k -dependent dimensional modulation, and cyclical phase dynamics, this framework offers a systems-level interpretation of biological, cognitive, and perceptual variability in terms of coherence and phase transitions. Rather than relying on isolated variables, it emphasizes cross-domain coupling and multi-scale interactions as fundamental organizing principles.

In this sense, the proposed framework provides a complementary perspective to existing models by reframing time and dimensional organization as emergent properties of phase coherence. This approach provides a conceptual foundation for further interdisciplinary exploration across chronobiology, systems neuroscience, and bioelectromagnetic science.

Declaration

Availability of data and materials. All data and materials relevant to this study are included within the article.

Funding. This research received no external funding and was conducted independently by the author.

Author contributions. D. Lee (Doha Lee) conceived the study, performed the analysis, prepared the manuscript, and approved the final version.

Competing interests. The author declares no competing interests.

References

- [1] F. J. Varela et al. “The brainweb: Phase synchronization and large-scale integration”. In: *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 2.4 (2001), pp. 229–239. doi: 10.1038/35067550.
- [2] S. H. Strogatz. “From Kuramoto to Crawford: Exploring the onset of synchronization in populations of coupled oscillators”. In: *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena* 143.1–4 (2000), pp. 1–20. doi: 10.1016/S0167-2789(00)00094-4.

- [3] Yoshiki Kuramoto. “Self-entrainment of a population of coupled non-linear oscillators”. In: *International Symposium on Mathematical Problems in Theoretical Physics*. Ed. by Huzihiro Araki. Vol. 39. Lecture Notes in Physics. Berlin: Springer, 1975, pp. 420–422.
- [4] Arkady Pikovsky, Michael Rosenblum, and Jürgen Kurths. *Synchronization: A Universal Concept in Nonlinear Sciences*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2001.
- [5] R. Huber et al. “Local sleep and learning”. In: *Nature* 430.6995 (2004), pp. 78–81. DOI: 10.1038/nature02663.
- [6] M. Tian et al. “Relationship between delayed luminescence emission and mitochondrial status in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*”. In: *Scientific Reports* 12 (2022), p. 394. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-021-04290-9.
- [7] György Buzsáki. *Rhythms of the Brain*. New York: Oxford University Press, 2006.
- [8] György Buzsáki and Andreas Draguhn. “Neuronal oscillations in cortical networks”. In: *Science* 304.5679 (2004), pp. 1926–1929. DOI: 10.1126/science.1099745.
- [9] L. D. Paolis et al. “Biophotons: A hard problem”. In: *Applied Sciences* 14.13 (2024), p. 5496. DOI: 10.3390/app14135496.
- [10] J. Tong et al. “Biophoton signaling in mediation of cell-to-cell communication”. In: *Radiation Measurements* 174 (2024), p. 106004. DOI: 10.1016/j.radmp.2024.06.004.
- [11] Pascal Fries. “Rhythms for cognition: Communication through coherence”. In: *Neuron* 88.1 (2015), pp. 220–235. DOI: 10.1016/j.neuron.2015.09.034.
- [12] Ryan T. Canolty and Robert T. Knight. “The functional role of cross-frequency coupling”. In: *Trends in Cognitive Sciences* 14.11 (2010), pp. 506–515. DOI: 10.1016/j.tics.2010.09.001.
- [13] Task Force of the European Society of Cardiology and the North American Society of Pacing and Electrophysiology. “Heart rate variability: Standards of measurement, physiological interpretation and clinical use”. In: *European Heart Journal* 17.3 (1996), pp. 354–381. DOI: 10.1093/oxfordjournals.eurheartj.a014868.
- [14] Julian F. Thayer and Richard D. Lane. “Claude Bernard and the heart–brain connection: Further elaboration of a model of neurovisceral integration”. In: *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews* 33.2 (2009), pp. 81–88. DOI: 10.1016/j.neubiorev.2008.08.004.
- [15] Michael Breakspear. “Dynamic models of large-scale brain activity”. In: *Nature Neuroscience* 20.3 (2017), pp. 340–352. DOI: 10.1038/nn.4497.