ÆPTIC: JOURNAL OF PLASMA, BIOELECTRICS & EVOLUTIONARY SCIENCE

ÆPTIC (2026) 2:1 | Articles | DOI: 10.64916/aeptic.v2i1.006

Focus on Phase-Resonant Morphogenesis: A Conceptual Framework for Interpreting Microplastic–Biological Interactions

Doha Lee

DohaTesla Lab, South Korea

doha.lee@dohateslalab.org

Received: December 10, 2025 | **Accepted:** March 12, 2026 | **Published online:** March 23, 2026 | Open Access

Abstract

Microplastics are widely regarded as synthetic byproducts of industrial activity. However, their detection in remote environments—including Antarctic snow, deserts, and high-altitude atmospheric systems—raises questions regarding their distribution and persistence. While long-range atmospheric transport has been proposed as a primary explanation, the consistency of these observations across geographically isolated regions suggests that additional factors may warrant further consideration.

In this study, we introduce a conceptual framework termed *phase-resonant condensation* to explore potential mechanisms underlying the formation and organization of polymeric microstructures under coupled electromagnetic, thermal, and environmental conditions. Within this framework, interactions between environmental variability and physicochemical processes are considered as possible contributors to structural organization, although these interpretations remain exploratory.

In parallel, certain biological responses—such as nodular or hypertrophic tissue formations under sustained stress—are discussed as potential compensatory processes associated with altered bioelectrical and hormonal regulation. These responses may be interpreted as system-level adaptations, although they may also be associated with pathological outcomes.

Rather than asserting a unified causal mechanism, this study examines whether observed environmental and biological patterns may be interpreted within a shared phase-based framework linking persistence, structural organization, and physiological response. This approach is intended as a hypothesis-generating perspective that complements existing toxicological and biological models.

Keywords: Phase resonance; Microplastic persistence; Bioelectrical regulation; Hormonal response; Morphogenesis; Environmental interaction

1 Introduction

Microplastics and endocrine-disrupting compounds (EDCs) have long been interpreted within a toxicological framework. This paradigm emphasizes molecular intrusion, receptor-level mimicry, and subsequent physiological dysfunction, and assumes that these materials are primarily anthropogenic in origin—residual byproducts of synthetic industrial activity.

However, recent observations challenge the sufficiency of this assumption. Microplastic particles are consistently detected in remote and extreme environments, including Antarctic snow [1], soils across the Tibetan Plateau [2], and atmospheric samples collected from high-altitude regions [3]. These regions are far removed from major industrial centers, and while long-range atmospheric transport has been proposed as an explanation, it may not fully account for the observed abundance and distribution patterns.

These observations raise the possibility that not all microplastic particles can be explained solely by secondary fragmentation of industrial materials. In addition to anthropogenic sources, certain polymeric or polymer-like structures may arise under natural environmental conditions, particularly in systems far from thermodynamic equilibrium.

Furthermore, microplastic particles frequently exhibit functional resemblances to biologically active molecules, including receptor-binding affinities and endocrine-modulating activity. Plastic-associated compounds such as bisphenol

A (BPA) and phthalates are known to mimic endogenous hormones and alter receptor dynamics [4, 5]. While these findings reinforce the toxicological paradigm, they also highlight structural and functional compatibility between synthetic polymers and biological signaling systems.

Such compatibility raises a broader question: whether these interactions are purely incidental or reflect underlying physicochemical constraints governing both environmental and biological organization. The prevailing framework, largely centered on chemical interactions, may therefore overlook the energetic and field-based contexts in which molecular organization and interaction occur.

In this context, the origin and behavior of microplastic-like structures may not be exclusively chemical, but also physical—linked to processes of resonance, synchronization, and phase coherence. Under specific thermoelectromagnetic conditions, polymer-like structures may form through phase-resonant condensation processes [6, 7], potentially giving rise to particulate matter resembling synthetic microplastics.

From this perspective, such structures may not function solely as passive contaminants, but could also participate in system-level processes. In particular, polymeric microstructures may exhibit dielectric properties, enabling them to act as insulating or buffering elements that influence local energy distribution and signal propagation.

Within biological systems, similar principles may apply under conditions of sustained physiological stress. Morphological adaptations such as nodular growths and hypertrophic transformations, typically regarded as pathological, may also be associated with alterations in bioelectrical signaling and systemic attempts to maintain coherence under conditions of signal overload and phase desynchronization.

Accordingly, this study explores the possibility that both environmental and biological polymeric phenomena—microplastic particles and nodular tissue formations—may be understood within a shared framework of resonance-driven self-organization. We propose a bioelectromagnetic model in which stress, signal overload, and rhythmic instability are associated with structural condensation processes across scales.

This perspective does not reject the role of synthetic pollution, but suggests that current interpretations may be incomplete. By incorporating physical and field-based processes into existing frameworks, this study aims to provide an expanded basis for understanding the origin, behavior, and potential functional roles of polymeric structures in both environmental and biological systems.

2 Theoretical Framework

2.1 Phase-Resonant Condensation

The concept of *phase-resonant condensation* refers to the spontaneous self-assembly of polymeric or quasi-polymeric structures under coherent electromagnetic and thermal conditions. Unlike standard chemical polymerization, which typically requires catalysts or industrial reagents, this process may emerge from the intrinsic alignment of oscillatory charged particles under specific environmental conditions.

When subjected to fluctuating energy fields—such as plasma bursts, atmospheric electromagnetic (EMF) variations, or geo-thermal gradients—charged molecular substrates may exhibit partial synchronization of their vibrational states, potentially resulting in ordered condensation into lattice-like structures. These structures can take the form of micron-scale particulate matter that morphologically resembles synthetic microplastics.

Empirical and theoretical studies across biophysics and field theory suggest that electromagnetic–thermal coherence can modulate molecular organization and phase alignment within complex systems [8, 9, 10]. This principle provides a conceptual bridge between physical self-organization and biochemical patterning.

This mechanism expands the boundaries of atmospheric and environmental chemistry by integrating concepts from coherence physics and phase information theory. The formation dynamics can be described in terms of frequency entrainment (κ), phase-gradient differentials ($\Delta\phi$), and informational potential fields (∇I). Under this formulation, structure formation may be associated with conditions where coupling increases and phase mismatch is reduced:

$$\kappa \uparrow, \quad \Delta\phi \to 0$$

suggesting a transition toward coherent organization under sustained field interactions.

These variables indicate that microplastic-like entities may form at the intersection of energy density thresholds and rhythmic field coherence, without requiring exclusively anthropogenic origin. This perspective extends the conventional understanding of microplastics, suggesting that some may arise through natural field-coherent processes in addition to industrial fragmentation.

2.2 Bioelectrical Adaptation

Within biological systems, particularly in multicellular organisms such as humans, environmental stressors do not act solely through chemical toxicity but may also involve energetic and informational load. Environmental factors such as sustained electromagnetic interference, circadian rhythm disruption, or prolonged psychological stress can induce *phase overdrive* states—conditions in which the body's internal oscillatory networks operate beyond their typical resonance range in order to maintain systemic coherence.

Hormonal oscillators, especially those associated with stress regulation such as cortisol, thyroid hormones, and estrogens, may increase their signaling activity in response to such conditions. When these demands persist, tissues may undergo structural adaptation associated with altered bioelectrical dynamics. This can manifest as nodular, hypertrophic, or dysmorphic morphogenesis, reflecting changes in system-level organization.

Such adaptations are typically classified as pathological. However, they may also be interpreted as responses associated with the redistribution of signaling load and maintenance of systemic stability under conditions of prolonged stress, while still potentially leading to dysfunction.

From this perspective, the body can be interpreted as an information-processing system in which biochemical, electrical, and structural dynamics interact to support whole-system coherence.

2.3 Reframing Endocrine Disruption

Classical toxicology defines endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs) as exogenous molecules that interfere with hormonal signaling by mimicking endogenous ligands and altering receptor activity. This framework has been supported by extensive experimental evidence.

However, receptor–ligand interactions depend on structural and physicochemical compatibility, including molecular conformation, charge distribution, and interaction dynamics. From this perspective, binding events may reflect not only disruption but also underlying constraints governing molecular recognition within biological systems.

Accordingly, some observed endocrine responses may be interpreted in terms of system-level adjustments under changing environmental or physiological conditions. While such responses are commonly associated with dysfunction, they may also involve adaptive regulatory processes within complex signaling networks.

In this view, the hormonal system can be understood as a dynamic, phase-coherent network involving continuous feedback and recalibration. Hormones function within interconnected oscillatory systems, contributing to the maintenance of systemic organization under varying conditions.

This reframing does not negate established toxicological effects, but suggests that endocrine interactions may also reflect broader regulatory dynamics within biological systems.

3 Results and Evidence Integration

3.1 Environmental Data

Recent studies have confirmed the unexpected presence of microplastic and nanoplastic particles in remote, ostensibly pristine ecosystems—regions far removed from known industrial or urban pollution sources.

- Nanoplastic accumulation has been reported in remote high-altitude glacier snowpacks, suggesting atmospheric persistence and deposition patterns that extend beyond conventional pollution pathways [11].
- Microplastic particles have been identified in Antarctic air columns, challenging the assumption that polymeric pollutants are confined to regions of human activity [12].
- Microplastic fragments have been documented in the soils of the Tibetan Plateau, over 4,000 meters above sea level, where direct industrial influence is minimal [13].
- Nanoplastics have been shown to be transported via long-range atmospheric flows into alpine ecosystems, highlighting their persistence under diverse environmental conditions [14].

These findings collectively suggest that polymeric particles not only exhibit long-range mobility, but may also involve *in situ* formation processes potentially associated with field-driven mechanisms. The consistency of particle morphology across distant, isolated environments suggests a non-random mechanism of emergence—one potentially related to phase coherence under specific environmental conditions. The possibility of *phase-resonant condensation* as a natural generative mechanism remains under-investigated, yet these observations provide indirect empirical support.

3.2 Bioelectrical Correlations

Simultaneously, biological systems under environmental stress display adaptive morphological and electrical transformations. Endocrine signaling circuits—particularly involving cortisol, thyroid hormones, and estrogens—operate as oscillatory systems tasked with maintaining internal coherence in the face of external fluctuations.

When these hormonal oscillators experience sustained stimulation—such as from chronic electromagnetic exposure, psychological stress, or disrupted circadian cues—they enter a *phase overdrive* state. In such conditions, signal output is amplified, and peripheral tissues may restructure to support increased energetic and informational load.

This process can manifest in hypertrophic tissue expansion, nodular growth, and bioelectrical circuit reconfiguration. Rather than viewing these changes exclusively as pathological, they may also be interpreted as phase-adaptive morphogenetic responses.

Moreover, recent work in electrophysiology and biofield science has shown that cells adjust their membrane potential, ion channel activity, and cytoskeletal structure in response to rhythmic disturbance and field interference. Such responses suggest that bioelectrical tissues may operate under self-organizing principles similar to environmental field patterning, with cytoskeletal realignments reflecting local resonance recalibration. The formation of dense cellular aggregates—sometimes classified as tumors—can, in certain contexts, be associated with coherence-seeking behavior in stressed cellular networks.

These insights support the hypothesis that both environmental microplastic particles and bioelectrical tissue formations may be parallel outcomes of related underlying processes associated with energy redistribution under conditions of systemic stress and phase dissonance.

3.3 Integrated Interpretation

Taken together, environmental data and biological responses point toward a systemic pattern: the emergence of structured matter—whether polymeric particles in the atmosphere or nodular tissues in the body—under conditions of resonance instability.

This observation supports the central thesis of this study: that microplastic-like structures may not only be interpreted as pollutants, but may also reflect processes associated with energetic compensation in both environmental and biological domains. Just as endocrine signaling pathways attempt to re-establish phase coherence within a stressed organism, environmental systems may similarly generate structured particles that influence local field distributions.

If such a model holds, it may extend current interpretations of both pollution and disease by incorporating field-based and system-level dynamics alongside established chemical frameworks. This perspective may also inform future diagnostic approaches centered on coherence and resonance stability in addition to molecular identification.

This integrative model suggests a new interpretation of disease and pollution, which may also be interpreted as structured and emergent responses to disrupted energetic rhythms.

4 Resonance-Based Disease Model

Traditional biomedical frameworks typically conceptualize disease as the result of mechanical failure, genetic error, or toxic accumulation. Pathologies such as tumors, nodules, and tissue dysregulation are interpreted as deviations from a presumed structural or molecular norm, with the therapeutic goal of restoring biochemical homeostasis.

However, the perspective developed in this study suggests that some morphological and functional alterations may also be interpreted as responses associated with informational and energetic imbalance. In this context, we propose a model in which disease can be associated with chronic *phase discordance*—a misalignment in the rhythmic coherence of biological oscillators under persistent environmental or internal stress.

4.1 From Biochemical Failure to Phase Error

In this model, the primary stressor is not limited to molecular toxicity, but may also involve disturbances in the coherence of system-level interactions, including electromagnetic variability, circadian misalignment, thermal instability, or psychosocial stress. These perturbations can influence oscillatory systems involved in hormonal signaling, cellular communication, and tissue regulation.

When the system enters a state of *resonance overload* or *signal overcapacity*, regulatory circuits may attempt to preserve functional coherence through structural and functional adaptation—such as increased tissue density, nodular organization, or altered membrane potential dynamics. Processes conventionally interpreted as hypertrophy or tumorigenesis may, in this context, also involve responses associated with attempts to stabilize signaling dynamics.

Accordingly, the pathological state may be interpreted not only as system failure, but also as a manifestation of dysregulated compensation within the body's synchronization mechanisms.

Importantly, this perspective does not negate established genetic, molecular, or pathological mechanisms of disease. Rather, it provides an additional systems-level interpretive layer in which dysregulated morphogenesis may also be understood in relation to persistent phase desynchronization and systemic signal imbalance.

4.2 Tissue Morphogenesis as Phase Compensation

This model interprets nodular growths, fibrotic formations, and certain neoplastic patterns as potentially associated with structural responses to signal imbalance. When signaling load exceeds a critical threshold—either in magnitude or coherence—local tissues may respond through modifications in their electrical and structural organization.

These changes may resemble system-level adaptations observed in other complex networks under overload conditions, including redistribution of load, structural reinforcement, or altered signal routing.

Evidence from electrophysiology, tissue engineering, and related fields suggests that tissues can dynamically reorganize in response to rhythmic disturbance or environmental variability. Mitochondrial networks, cytoskeletal structures, and chromatin organization have been observed to shift under conditions of altered signaling or entrainment.

Such changes are not necessarily random; they may reflect processes associated with maintaining or restoring system-level coherence. In some cases, these responses may remain regulated, while in others, persistent dysregulation may lead to sustained morphological alteration.

In this context, certain structural or material responses—including polymeric or microplastic-like entities—may also function as buffering or insulating elements that modulate excessive signaling dynamics under conditions of phase instability.

4.3 Disease as Information-Phase Instability

Framing disease in terms of phase instability provides an additional perspective for understanding complex pathologies. Rather than focusing exclusively on molecular or cellular abnormalities, this approach considers coherence across interacting oscillatory systems—from intracellular signaling dynamics to endocrine rhythms and behavioral cycles.

Within this framing, conventional pathological classifications remain valid, while the present model introduces a complementary perspective focused on coherence dynamics and phase regulation across biological systems.

Disruptions at any of these levels may propagate through coupled systems, leading to emergent structural and functional changes. Accordingly, therapeutic approaches may benefit from addressing not only biochemical markers but also system-level coherence and regulation.

Interventions such as bioelectromagnetic modulation, rhythm-based regulation, or environment-aligned physiological support may complement existing pharmacological approaches in restoring system stability.

This resonance-based model therefore suggests that disease may also be interpreted as a signal of systemic imbalance, reflecting challenges in maintaining phase alignment within complex biological systems.

5 Discussion

Both environmental and biological data converge toward a shared interpretive axis: *resonant adaptation to phase error*. When systemic rhythms between environment and organism become desynchronized, compensatory structures may arise—ranging from microscopic polymeric condensation to macroscopic tissue hypertrophy. This perspective extends beyond the conventional anthropocentric "pollution" narrative and situates plastic-like materialization within a broader continuum of bioelectromagnetic morphogenesis.

5.1 Rethinking the Ontology of Disease and Pollution

This interpretation challenges the traditional distinction between "synthetic contaminants" and "biological disorders." By highlighting morphological and behavioral analogies between environmental microplastic formations and nodular tissue growths, this study suggests that both phenomena may emerge from related resonance dynamics.

Within this framework, pollution may be interpreted not only as a toxic intrusion, but also as a phase-state artifact—representing structured responses that arise under conditions of systemic stress and reorganization.

Such a perspective allows for the consideration that certain so-called pollutants may reflect emergent field-responses—patterned structures associated with conditions in which signal load, frequency mismatch, or energetic variability exceed the buffering capacity of local systems.

5.2 Limitations of the Toxicological Paradigm

The conventional toxicological framework has primarily emphasized dose-dependent chemical effects, receptor-binding affinity, and molecular mimicry. However, it has more limited engagement with higher-order biological rhythms and the role of field coherence in maintaining systemic stability.

Receptor binding can be interpreted not only as a mechanical interaction, but also as a recognition process occurring within a dynamic informational network. Accordingly, some phenomena described as "disruptions" may also involve adaptive responses associated with resonance realignment and attempts to restore oscillatory integrity.

While the biochemical paradigm remains essential for understanding acute toxicity, it may be less suited to capturing system-level structural adaptations when considered outside a phase-coherent context.

5.3 A Coherence-Based Perspective

Taken together, this resonance-oriented interpretation provides a broader foundation for understanding disease and environmental phenomena—not only as deviations from biochemical norms, but also as responses associated with rhythmic disorganization.

Disease may be interpreted not only as error, but also as a form of signaling associated with morphogenetic processes under conditions of systemic imbalance. From this perspective, the task of medicine and environmental science extends beyond eliminating anomalies to interpreting the patterns they embody, as indicators of systems attempting to restore phase alignment.

Within this coherence-based framework, health can be understood as the capacity to sustain synchronized rhythms across internal and external systems. Recovery may therefore be interpreted not only in chemical or genetic terms, but also as involving electrical, spatial, and temporal processes associated with restoring coherence across interacting oscillatory domains.

Recent analyses indicate that atmospheric electric fields are dynamically coupled with biological systems through charge redistribution and field-mediated feedback mechanisms [15]. Such interactions suggest that the Earth's electromagnetic environment may contribute to the regulation of systemic coherence across scales, consistent with the conceptual framework of the Phase-Resonant Insulation Model (PRIM).

The Phase-Resonant Insulation Model (PRIM)

While microplastics are typically understood as passive pollutants, their persistent presence in both atmospheric and biological systems invites reconsideration of their potential functional roles. Plastics, derived from petroleum—a substance associated with stored solar energy—exhibit insulative and dielectric properties. In electrically active environments, such materials may function not only as contaminants, but also as elements that influence local electrical and field dynamics.

If biological systems are considered bioelectrical in nature, the presence or formation of plastic-like structures may be associated with processes that buffer or redistribute excessive signaling load. Analogous to known insulating or regulatory structures in biology—such as myelin or lipid-based compartments—these materials may contribute to modulating electrical or informational flow under certain conditions.

In this context, such structures may be interpreted as elements that absorb, redistribute, or delay signal propagation within complex systems, particularly under conditions of sustained stress or phase instability.

This perspective also suggests a more continuous relationship between natural and synthetic materials. Human-generated polymers, once integrated into environmental and biological systems, may participate in broader thermodynamic and ecological processes.

We therefore introduce the Phase-Resonant Insulation Model (PRIM) as a conceptual framework describing the emergence and role of insulating structures under conditions of electromagnetic or systemic stress. Within this model, biological and environmental systems may generate or utilize non-conductive structures that contribute to buffering excessive signal flow and supporting systemic stability.

This insulation-related behavior may be considered across multiple scales:

- **Planetary level**: Atmospheric particulates, including microplastic aerosols and organic matter, may influence charge distribution and discharge dynamics under geomagnetic or solar variability.
- **Biological level**: Cells may produce lipid-based or polymer-like structures associated with regulating localized electrical or metabolic conditions.
- **Neuroendocrine level**: Hormonal cycles such as cortisol and estrogen may contribute to regulating phase dynamics and signal distribution.
- **Microbial level**: Microbial systems may generate extracellular polymers or membrane-associated structures that influence local electrochemical environments.

In this view, plastic-like structures may be interpreted not only as synthetic residues, but also as components associated with system-level responses to energetic and signaling conditions. Their presence may reflect processes linked to maintaining or restoring coherence under conditions of instability.

6 Conclusion

The evidence reviewed in this study challenges the assumption that all polymeric microstructures are exclusively industrial residues. By examining parallels between environmental and biological resonance-related phenomena, this work suggests that microplastic-like particles and nodular morphogenesis may, in some cases, be associated with shared phase-related processes.

Accordingly, disease and contamination may be interpreted not only as distinct pathological or environmental categories, but also as phenomena that can reflect responses associated with information-field dynamics under conditions of systemic stress. This perspective provides an expanded framework for considering interactions between biological systems and their energetic environments.

Ethical Clarification and Scope

This model does not deny the well-documented environmental harms associated with synthetic plastics. Impacts on marine ecosystems—including ingestion, entanglement, and bioaccumulation—remain significant and require continued attention. Efforts toward pollution reduction and ecosystem protection remain essential.

Rather, the aim of this study is to extend the conceptual understanding of polymeric structures when encountered within biological and environmental systems. Treating all such structures solely as inert contaminants may limit the scope of interpretation, particularly in complex systems where structural and functional dynamics are interrelated.

As efforts continue to reduce harmful exposure, there is also a need to develop frameworks capable of distinguishing between purely detrimental effects and potential system-level responses associated with environmental or physiological conditions.

From this perspective, effective intervention—whether ecological or biomedical—may benefit from approaches that integrate removal, regulation, and interpretation. Understanding the conditions under which such structures arise, and the system-level dynamics with which they may be associated, may support more precise and context-sensitive responses within both environmental science and biological research.

Declaration

Availability of data and materials. All data and materials relevant to this study are included within the article.

Funding. This research received no external funding and was conducted independently by the author.

Author contributions. D. Lee (Doha Lee) conceived the study, performed the analysis, prepared the manuscript, and approved the final version.

Competing interests. The author declares no competing interests.

References

[1] A. R. Aves, L. E. Revell, et al. "First evidence of microplastics in Antarctic snow". In: *The Cryosphere* 16 (2022), pp. 2127–2145. DOI: 10.5194/tc-16-2127-2022.

[2] L. Yang et al. "Microplastic characteristics in the soil across the Tibetan Plateau". In: *Science of the Total Environment* 828 (2022), p. 154518. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.154518.

[3] Z. Guo, J. Chen, et al. "Characteristics, sources and potential ecological risk of atmospheric microplastics in Lhasa city, Tibetan Plateau". In: *Environmental Geochemistry and Health* 46 (2024), p. 347. DOI: 10.1007/s10653-024-02125-w.

[4] Maria Dalamaga et al. "The Role of Endocrine-Disrupting Bisphenols and Phthalates in Obesity and Metabolic Dysregulation". In: *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* 25 (2024), p. 675. DOI: 10.3390/ijms250100675.

[5] R. Biemann et al. "Endocrine-disrupting chemicals: Mechanisms of action and human health implications". In: *Nutrition Research Reviews* 34.2 (2021), pp. 287–310. DOI: 10.1017/S0954422421000145.

[6] Thomas Rothermund et al. "Electric Fields in Polymeric Systems". In: *Chemical Reviews* 124.23 (2024), pp. 13331–13369. DOI: 10.1021/acs.chemrev.4c00490.

[7] Hyunwoo Jung et al. "Magnetic Control of Self-Assembly and Disassembly in Rod–Coil Amphiphiles". In: *Nature Communications* 14 (2023), p. 3884. DOI: 10.1038/s41467-023-38846-2.

[8] H. Fröhlich. "Long-range coherence and energy storage in biological systems". In: *International Journal of Quantum Chemistry* 2 (1968), pp. 641–649. DOI: 10.1002/qua.560020505.

[9] M. Cifra, J. Z. Fields, and A. Farhadi. "Electromagnetic cellular interactions". In: *Progress in Biophysics and Molecular Biology* 105.3 (2011), pp. 223–246. DOI: 10.1016/j.pbiomolbio.2010.07.003.

[10] A. G. Volkov, H. Carrell, and V. S. Markin. "Membrane electromechanics and soft matter coherence". In: *Bioelectrochemistry* 118 (2017), pp. 111–123. DOI: 10.1016/j.bioelechem.2017.06.005.

[11] L. Jurkschat et al. "Using a citizen science approach to assess nanoplastics pollution in remote high-altitude glaciers". In: *Scientific Reports* 15 (2025), p. 1864. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-024-84210-9.

[12] S. Deylami et al. "Microplastics in Antarctic air: Revealing current findings". In: *Antarctic Science* (2025). Advance online publication. DOI: 10.1017/S0954102024000555.

[13] S. Feng et al. "Microplastic pollution characteristics and its future perspectives in the Tibetan Plateau". In: *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 457 (2023), p. 131711. DOI: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2023.131711.

[14] Dušan Materić et al. "Nanoplastics transport to the remote, high-altitude Alps". In: *Environmental Pollution* 288 (2021), p. 117697. DOI: 10.1016/j.envpol.2021.117697.

[15] Ellard R. Hunting et al. "Challenges in coupling atmospheric electricity with biological systems". In: *International Journal of Biometeorology* 65.1 (2021), pp. 45–58. DOI: 10.1007/s00484-020-01960-7.